

MICHELE BECKWITH
Acting United States Attorney
NICOLE MOODY
Special Assistant United States Attorney
501 I Street, Suite 10-100
Sacramento, CA 95814
Telephone: (916) 554-2700

Attorneys for Plaintiff
United States of America

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JAVIER AGUILERA ROSAS,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:24-CR-00237-WBS

STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE
TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;
FINDINGS AND ORDER

DATE: February 10, 2025
TIME: 10 a.m.
COURT: Hon. William B. Shubb

STIPULATION

Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on February 10, 2025.
2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until **April 28, 2025 at 10:00 a.m.**, and to exclude time between February 10, 2025, and April 28, 2025, under Local Code T4.
3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:
 - a) Counsel for defendant has an unusually time consuming sentencing set for February 6, 2025.
 - b) Counsel for defendant has a trial schedule that includes a federal misdemeanor trial set for February 24, 2025, a two-week federal trial set to begin March 3, 2025, a one-month federal trial set to begin March 17, 2025, and a federal misdemeanor trial set for March 31, 2025.

c) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case includes 1,000s of pages of documents. All this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.

d) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to consult with his client, review the current charges, conduct investigation and research related to the charges, review discovery for this matter, discuss potential resolutions with his client, and otherwise prepare for trial.

e) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

f) The government does not object to the continuance.

g) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.

h) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of February 10, 2025 to April 28, 2025, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: February 6, 2025

MICHELE BECKWITH
Acting United States Attorney

/s/ NICOLE MOODY

NICOLE MOODY
Special Assistant United States
Attorney


Dated: February 6, 2025

/s/ MICHAEL HEUMANN
MICHAEL HEUMANN
Counsel for Defendant
Javier Aguilera Rosas

ORDER

IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED.

Dated: February 6, 2025


WILLIAM B. SHUBB
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE